```
THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C.
    DAVID C. O'MARA
   NEVADA BAR NO. 8599
    311 East Liberty St.
    Reno, Nevada 89501
    775-323-1321
    775-323-4082 (fax)
    david@omaralaw.net
 5
    Counsel for Plaintiffs
 6
                            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 7
                                   DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 8
     EAST CAREER AND TECHNICAL
     ACADEMY STUDENTS FOR LIFE,
 9
                                                Case No. 2:22-cy-01647-RFB-BNW
     FELIPE AVILA, an individual, and
     JANELLE RIVERA, an individual,
10
                     Plaintiffs,
11
     v.
12
     CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT;
                                                PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT AND
13
     EAST CAREER AND TECHNICAL
                                                REQUEST FOR HEARING ON
     ACADEMY; DR. JESUS JARA,
                                                DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO EXECUTE
14
     individually and in his capacity and
                                                THE WRITTEN SETTLEMENT
     Superintendent of Clark County School
                                                AGREEMENT AND FAILURE TO COMPLY
15
     District; TRISH TAYLOR, Individually
                                                WITH ITS TERMS
     and her capacity as Principal of East Career
16
     and Technical Academy; KAREN
     STELLUTO, individually and in her
17
     capacity as Assistant Principal of East
     Career and Technical Academy; and
18
     VINCENT MEDINA, Individually and in
     his capacity as Assistant Principal of East
19
     Career and Technical Academy,
20
                     Defendants.
21
          Plaintiffs East Career and Technical Academy (ECTA) Students for Life (SFLC), Felipe
22
    Avila, and Janelle Rivera hereby submit their Status Report pursuant to the Court's December 1,
23
    2023 minute order. Because Defendants have failed, despite extended delay, to fulfill the
24
    requirements of the parties' settlement agreement negotiated with this Court's assistance, Plaintiffs
25
    respectfully request that this Court schedule a hearing on the reasons for Defendants' failure to
26
    execute the written settlement agreement, which accurately reflects the parties' agreement, and to
27
    order defendants to immediately comply with the parties' agreement.
28
```

As the Court is aware, quite some time ago the parties agreed to the terms of a settlement. On November 2, 2023, Plaintiffs provided defendants with a copy of the finalized written settlement agreement executed by Plaintiffs. Defendants' counsel had previously stated, on October 25, 2023, that a memorandum detailing school administrators' obligations under the First Amendment would be released "upon receiving signatures" from Plaintiffs in accordance with the agreement, and that payment for attorney fees would issue "14-21 days after receiving [the] signed copy."

On November 8, 2023, counsel for Defendants sent a "Draft Stipulation and Order for Dismissal with Prejudice" ("Draft Stipulation") for filing on the same day. However, Defendants had provided no evidence that the memorandum had been provided to school officials, or that payment had issued. Given Defendants' failures to perform as agreed, Plaintiffs objected to the Draft Stipulation, and the parties instead filed a joint status report. ECF No. 64.

On November 21, 2023, counsel for Plaintiffs contacted counsel for Defendants via email, and again on November 27 by email and phone, asking for a status update on Defendants' performance of the settlement requirements. Counsel for Defendants replied on November 30, that the school district needed clarification on payee information for the attorneys' fees payment. Later on November 30, Parties filed a joint status report again. ECF No. 66.

On December 11, 2023, counsel for Plaintiffs again requested a status update from counsel for Defendants, followed by a call on December 13. Counsel for Defendants stated that she would provide an update following a status call with Defendants on December 13. On December 15, 2023, counsel for Plaintiffs requested the promised update. To date, counsel for Defendants has not replied.

Defendants have failed to countersign the settlement agreement and have failed to provide evidence that they have complied with their obligations under the parties' agreement. To

## Case 2:22-cv-01647-RFB-DJA Document 68 Filed 12/18/23 Page 3 of 3

Plaintiffs' knowledge, Defendants have made no material progress towards satisfying the terms they agreed to as part of the settlement negotiated with the Court's assistance. Plaintiffs are being harmed by the ongoing delay. If Defendants intend to renege on their agreement, Plaintiffs have 3 4 a right to know that is the case and to pursue relief on behalf of their clients without further delay. 5 Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court set a hearing at its earliest 6 convenience on Defendants' failure to execute the parties' written settlement agreement and failure 7 to comply with its terms. 8 DATED: December 18, 2023 THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. 9 /s/ David C. O'Mara 10 DAVID C. O'MARA, ESQ 11 311 East Liberty St. Reno, Nevada 89501 775-323-1321 12 775-323-4082 (fax) 13 Joan M. Mannix\* 14 Thomas More Society - Special Counsel 15 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 2200 Chicago, IL 60602 16 (312) 685-4552 jmannnix@joanmannixltd.com 17 Nathan Loyd\* 18 Thomas More Society - Special Counsel 19 5101 Old Highway 5, Box 442 Lebanon, GA 30146 20 (559) 744-3664 nathaniel.loyd@thomasmoresociety.org 21 22 \*Admitted pro hac vice 23 Counsel for Plaintiffs 24 25 26 27 28